App. F. IX-4

193 .

File No. 2-30

Previous References

Serial Nos. 22788

Later References

515-38 (1-2).
387-38-1-4.
mwg 427-40-1-2
164-43-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 22788 S 4

Part B for June '32

SUBJECT.

Re certain marriages to be shown to Ved Jiruvinnelli Rama-nuja Charlyar of Melkote in the Sri Adinarayana Swami temple.

To The Mysore Court.

(188) No 1616 of 7-5-32

Approves of the recommendation to [illegible] marriages "in the temple of the Melkote temple

Serial No.	
22	Petition from V.K. Arunachalam Ramanujachariyar asking for allowance of Melkote requesting that Analuppadu, Pallivasalai, Inam and other Inamyadies may be granted to them on account of Adyenumottoora at Melkote without demanding payment of Kanika money (Rakkasavilay) and for such occasions Financial Regs. refer to the P.C.D. "Presented in person. May be sent to the D.C. for 2 days early decision and report of the same to Govt." (Sd) D.S. 12/12/30 (Sd) V.S. 13/12 Dy. Govt. Agent Jaffna C.B. 7184K 16/12/30 Issue VS 17.12.30
23	To The Mudaliyar No 1356 dt. 17-12-30

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

File No. of 193

General and Rev Secretariat

Forwards per reference in 8' 23 for disposal and

Depart

S.Hqrs A
18/12/30.

" D.F.C. and A.D.C. pass duties
the M.E. as per instructions
of the Secy, are issued for his
guidance.
C.B. M&K
3/2/31

M/2/31

Done
M&K
3/2/31.

Issued endorsement no 8735 & 3/2/31.

15-7-1931

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2 of 1930.

Serial No.

Memo no 860/27-8 dated the 10th oct 1931 of the Finance Comm forwarding for information a copy of his letter Dis. No. 8th Oct 1931 to the Dy Comm, Mysore Dt, on the establishment of Ved. Pathasala Ramanuja Chari asking for certain particulars at the Sri Parakala Mutt Sri Venkatagiri Temple at Melkote & called for special orders, if any. If approved further reports will be executed this above the end of Nov 1931.

C.S. (H. Seey)
15/10/31

16.10.31

Yes. Please see the further communication issued. We will be to have the matter expedited and send up a report before the end of the month.

h
19.10.31

22.10.31

22.10.31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

File No. of 193

General and Rev. Secretariat

Submitted

As the Commissioner has been busy during Dasara at Camp last month may his final reply to the Sec'y's letter issued on 19.10.31 be awaited till the End of this month?

WSK

2/11/31. Please resubmit on the 20th Instant if no reply be received by that time.

VS

3.11.31

B 20/11 K. of S. pressed for ...

3.11.31.

Reminders.

817 & 5-12-31
839 & 14-12-31
926 & 6-1-32
1123 & 11-2-3

Nurse.

GENL. & FEA. 8 SEC. FEB

DEWAN'S OFFICE

1932.

259

Order.

No. 1331

NAME OF PETITIONER Srinivasmurthi Ramanujachar

VILLAGE Melukote

TALUK Seringapatam

DISTRICT Mysore

DATE OF PETITION —

DATE OF RECEIPT 8.2.32

NO OF ENCLOSURES nil

ABSTRACT.

Requests that the appeal in respect of certain previleges enjoyed by the Sthala Acharya pursuant at the temple of Melukote, pending with the Government may be disposed of early.

Mysore Secretary with compliments. D.O.

PRIVATE SECRETARY, TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Enclosure

16

Kind reference is invited to this office letters dated the 19th Oct 1931 and 817 dated 5th Dec 1931 to the Mysore Comm & calling for early submission of the records connected with the case. The last reminder to the M.G. on the 5th inst.

C/M No 2440

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Vide flag A in linked files

has been issued on 11/2/1932 as D.O No 1123/Insp 171-27. Attached Sealed Jar orders.
C.C. Work 17
1/2/32.

The report may be awaited till the 20th instant & the Insp Gen reminded again.
AM 15/2

NOTE—Contu Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

Enrz File No. 2 of 1980.

General and the Secretaria

Serial No.

Letter no 860/27-26 dated the 12th/16th April 1932 from the Inspl Com-r forwarding his recommendation in the matter of the claim of Ved. Immaimoli Ramanujachar in the Devi Varadaraja-Swami Temple at Melkote.

Ved. Ramanuja Charlu urges his claim for the following honours:

(1) Kulambara Vastra,
(2) Exemption from payment of Rakkasuchha in respect of his Archaka Seras
(3) Ashtapatha post &
(4) Grant of Jodi-Aruna.

It appears on careful consideration of the documents produced by the applicant and the other ~~Inamdars~~ Inamdars &c, the local officers and the Inspl Com-r recommend that the first three honours claimed might be recognized and as regards the 4th namely grant of Jodi Aruna, though the local officers recommend the grant of this concession also, the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Claim of Ved Ramanmale
for certain houses in the Sri Mariyamman Temple at Mellakke.

	File No. 2	of 1930	General and Revenue Secretariat

Muthucumaru says that his Spiritual Guru was not employed by the applicant at all & that he was not being employed even by the father of the applicant for the last 1689 and that the Nayakar Kangani Ved Shadagopalachar, Grandfather of the petitioners, was hereditary to him, and that he is therefore of opinion that the claim of the party to Padiamma has to be rejected.

Submitted for orders. The D.C. Trincomalee states that he would like the local authorities to issue instructions to admit all the claims of the applicant and give effect to the terms of the Deed, while the M.C. is of opinion that the claim of Ved Ramanmalachar to Padiamma has not been satisfactorily proved.

C.S., Kcc
25/4/32

As opined by the M.C. Clauses (i) (ii) & (iii) refd to in the P.C.D may be allowed and not (iv). Put up draft as proposed by the M.C. please.

25/4/32

Serial No.

22 Put up as per orders, for consideration. A copy of the G.O. is respectfully sent to Mr. Ramanujachariar with reference to his application to Govt on the subject.

only copy of appln A

25/4/32

23 Draft submitted for favour of approval.

24 A — Put up to the petr's vakil for

26/4/32

25 Returned for orders with draft G.O. and draft Endorsement.

28/4/32

26 In the G.O. of 1910 the question of [illegible] has been disposed of as stated by the M.C. and the request of the petr in this behalf is not going to be granted even now.

27 As regards Kuthumba Thirman there was no complaint about this when the question of honours due to the petr came up before Govt in 1910. As stated by the M.C. there is a reference to "[illegible]" in that order & it has been exposed also but this has nothing to do with "[illegible]". The former

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

refers to a Maryada which is shown to all persons by giving them three times. The latter refers to and from [illegible] to be given to the male members of the petro family in preference to others present. Drafts are for approval.

Fri Secy) 28/4/32

7950

28/4.

28 The Mysore Commissions proposals are in order and may be approved

(1st member) 28/4/32

29/4/32

29 May

2 (5)/32 1

30 Issue and submit to Dewan for favourable perusal.

2/5

3/5

No. 1616 dated the 5th/7th May 1932 to the Imperial Government approving of his recommendation to grant the privilege of Kalamkaluthirtha and exemption from payment of Pokka-vetcha in respect of his Nehimi Devas, and conferring the post of an Adyapaka on Ved. Vidwan Ramanuja Dikshit.

Letter No 1617 dated the 7th May 1932 to Ved Vidwan Mole Ramanuja Dikshit, Melkote, intimating.

Serial No.

the principle of
that Kutumbica tirtha exemption from
taxes prakkanchha an nehimi
seras performed by him at the temple
shall been conferred on him, ~~the~~
that the post of an Adhyapaka
would be ~~conferred~~ given to him,
and that his claim to pradiksana
cannot be accepted as it is not
substantiated.

31 Refce:- orders of the H Ccy at para
29 of the notes supra. Orders have
issued. The file is resubd for
submission to the Diwan for
kind perusal.

C.G. Nair
7/5/32

32 Submd for favour of perusal.

7/5/32

Diwan)

33. Mr
9-5-32

7/5/32

DEPT 386 MAY 1932 E.

Note—Contn. Sheet.

Petn dated 1.6.1932 from Ved. Thuraiai Mudi Ramanuja Chariar, 408, Devanthea Agrahar, Mysore, stating that no endorsement was received by him about his application for grant of certain mortgages of the Sri Varadarajaswamy temple at Melkote and asking for an attested copy of the Govt order issued in the matter. 3rd Leg's order on the Petn.

"Why? An endorsement should have issued. This may be attended to."

Copy asked for may be given if there is no objection under the rules.

(Sd) B.S.
2/6/32.
(Sd) B.M.
2/6/32.

35 Writ issued as to the H Leg's order. It is submitted that an endorsement was issued to Ved Ramanuja Chariar on 7.5.1932 (vide off on file) as this is stated to have not yet been done the applicant, a copy of it as submitted herewith for kind signature and it will be sent to the party by Reg Post.

Reg W

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

36 The signature of [illegible] a Pol. of the G.O. issued in the name will be [illegible] submitted [illegible] before the [illegible]

37 h
1632

38 Copy delivered personally to Mr Ramunny a Clerical. The Pol Secy. directs that a Copy of G.O No 1616/mm 2-30-88 dated the 5th/7th May 1932 might be neatly made on the accompanying blank papers presented by the party, and neatly stamped and signed and sent with the file here for delivery. It is ordered that this should be done tomorrow alone & the file sent with the Bill messenger by night train. Submitted to Superintendent, Daily Press, with annas [illegible] received from the applicant as Copying Fees.

C.G.
7/6/32.

39

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

40 Rec'd 14 as
D 8/6/32

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Fee queried 2.0
Celsius Company Charges 0-7-0.
41 Copy signed & sealed is
lastup - Excluding 4 as received
here, Adam of 3 as more is
One from the Party. Able receiving
the same from him, the Copy
may be delivered.
6/6 Receipt for amount for is also placed
8/6 in the file. The unstamped paper may also be returned.

12/6 Copy delivered personally to Ved.
Ram Ramji Chavan and
acknowledgment duly received
V.J. Dimmayanimiyal on
behalf of the addressee. The
balance of Copying fees,
viz annas 3, still due, has
been pencilled and sent
herewith in a Cover. This
may kindly be sent to the
Office note-book for Re-
mittance. Cashier

12/6 437 Off with annas three
7.6.32 being balance of Copying fees as above
Two
10/6/32
Received annas three only
10.6.32
10/6/32

Serial No.

45 The total sum of Annas 7 only (4 as received on 8th inst & 3 as received today) may be credited to the Treasury & the file returned.
Supdt. OS in the Compartment
10/6/32

10/6/32 46 Annas Seven only has this day been credited to the State Huzur Treasury Vide T.R.B. No 1076 of 11th June 1932.
Mng Branch with compts
By
11/6/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Dwg 2-30 23

No. 1384 Rev 0-2 17-12-30

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 868 dated ~~.....~~
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Vid. Dhurvanuda
Ramanuja Chariar
& others of Melkote praying
that Srirangapura
Parivatta Mele and
other Inamgadies
may be ordered
to be granted them
on occasions of
Adhyayanotsavam
at Melkote without
demanding Inamdars
money therefor,
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~
to the Dewan Con-
for necessary early dis-
posal and submission of
the same to Govt.

By Order,
17.12.30
Secretary. to Govt
C.B.

BANGALORE,
..... 19..... 16/12/30
P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ [illegible]

[illegible]

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ. 1738 ದಿ 3/2/31

[illegible]

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ [illegible]

ಮೇರಿಕ್ಕಾಮಾನ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಬಗ್ಗೆ

[illegible]

[illegible] ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

[illegible]
Secretary.

[illegible]
3/2/31

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mez

File No.

Serial No. R

No. 1736.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

To The Mez Commr

Date of issue 3/1/31

Signed by K. P. Raghavachar

Sir,

I am directed to refer to this office ~~Letter~~ memo No 1384 dated 17-12-30 forwarding the petition of ~~and report~~ praying for the grant of certain Inams to Srinivasa Srinivasachar Ramanujachar of Melkote without demanding payment thereof and to request you to do so and to report what action has been taken thereon.

I have &c

Lower

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

So &c

D.O.

1138

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, 12th February '31.

13 FEB 1931

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your kind attention to this office Memo No.1008/30-31 dated 2-1-31 calling for a report on the petition of Sriman Tiruvaimoli Ramanujachariar of Melkote regarding the grant of certain Maryades without demanding payment therefor and to request that your reply thereto may kindly be expedited as pressing reminders are being received from Government.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- Y.NARASINGA RAU,

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

1008/30-31. 13/2/31

Memo.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Financial Secretary to Government with reference to his letter No.1736/Muz. dated 3-2-31.

Submit for perusal
19/2/31.

19.2.31

Yearasingarau
For Muzrai Commissioner.

20-2-31.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY

4 MAR 1 1

GENL & REV. SEC.

5 - 3 - 31

1197

D.O. No.H.31/30 dated 1[illegible]-3-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible] District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Report on the petition of Tiruvoimuli Ramanujacheriyar, Melkote.

In reply to your D.O. letter No.1006/30-31 dated 12/13-2-31 regarding the prayer of Tiruvoimuli Ramanujacheriyar for the grant of certain mariyades in the Melkote Temple without payment of fees, I write to inform you that the Sub Division Officer's report has not yet been received. He is reminded to submit the report within a week. After it is received I shall submit a final reply.

1008/30-31 4/8/31

Memo.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Financial Secretary to Government in continuation of this office Memo No.1006/30-31 dated 13-2-31. A final reply will be submitted on receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's report.

Yeraswega Rau
Muzrai Commissioner.

7088

Sent for perusal. Specific further report will be awaited for about a month or so.

6/3/31 H. Secy)

6.3.31

Spec A
6.3.31

8/3

1197/24

CENL & REV. SECTT. 1433 1931

G. R. S. 461

REPLY

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

No. 2154, dated the 31-3-1931.

No. 1008 dated the 24/4 1931.
30-31

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER MYSORE 1008 1 APR 1931

2 APR 1931

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore Financial Department.

SUBJECT.

Re certain marriages to Jiva-voimuli Ramanujacharar & others at Melkote on the occasion of Adiyarayaroisava without payment of fees.

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and to request that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which reply may be expected.

*No. 1384, dated 14-30 Muz 2-30-23 Secr

I am also to request that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than the 15th April 31

I have, etc.

M S Krishnamachari
for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your reminder noted in the margin, I have the honour to invite kind reference to this office letter No. C1008 of 30-31 dated 4th March '31 and to state that the deputy commissioner's report is still awaited. A reminder has been issued to him today to submit his report without further delay. On receipt of it a final communication will be addressed to Government.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt
Narasimha Rao
for Muzrai Commissioner

Submitted for perusal
27/4

15
27.4.31

* Previous reminders

WD 0161—GPB—2,000—28 8-29

D.O. No. 100.

17th July 1931. xxx

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite special reference to this Office letters Nos.1062/Muz. 171-27-2 dated the 29th December 1927 and 1384/Muz. 2-30-23 dated the 17th December 1930 and the subsequent reminders thereto forwarding for report petitions received from Sriman Tiruvaimule Ramanujacharya of Melkote regarding Sthala Achatya Perushika at Melkote and the grant of certain maryades to him on special occasions by the Sri Narayanaswami Temple authorities at the place without demanding payment of money for them, etc., and to request that the disposal of these questions which are pending for a very long time might be expedited within three or four weeks at the latest and a report submitted to Government.

Yours sincerely

To

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Army (Gen)

Diary No. of letter replied to.....52.....

File No.

Serial No.

D. O. No.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Army (Gen))

the *193* .

To

Dear Sir

Date of issue.....17-7-31.....

I am directed to
limite Special reference to the
D.O. letter No. 1062/Army
171-27-2 dated the
29th Dec 1927 and
1384/Army 2-30-23
dated the 17th Dec
1930 and the Orders
Govt. Memorandum
thereon to forwarding
for inspection Returns
required from
Subordinate Officers
under Ramanathapuram
Chatram

Signed by.....for S. Iyengar.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

of Kate Conway
to be appointed
officiating superior
Vasanta Vihara Sthala
Acharya Purushottam
Indkote and the grant
of certain privileges
to him on special
occasions by the Sri
Purushottam Swami
Simple. Particulars
of the Case, Petitioner
demanding payment
of money from them &c,
and to file, and that
that the decision
of these Questions, which are pending in Court by him
might be expedited
whether there or from
where it is to be sent
and as per form submitted
for the information of
Govt. Yours Sincerely

17.7.31 17.7.32

To S.P. Kanjee Esqr. Asst. Chief Secretary
Govt. Secretariat, Colombo

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

101 G. 17/20-7-31.

Memorandum

D No 3 85 30.106

Confidential.

No. C2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,.....8th October.....193 1

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

..The.Deputy.Commissioner,
..Mysore.District,.
....M.y.s.o.r.e.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.H.553/27 dated 5th June 1951 reporting on the petition of Vedamurti Tiruvoimoli Ramanujachar, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. As regards Kuduma Thirtha, it is seen from the records that this identical question seems to have come up for consideration in 1908 when the then Deputy Commissioner made a reference to Government in the Muzrai Department soliciting definite instructions in the matter (vide Rukkari dated 7-6-1907, what orders were passed on this reference is not known from the records now sent. The orders now passed by you seem to have been based upon the endorsement dated 15-7-1865 (1864?) and the oral testimony of Archaks now in Dhatt. It cannot be made out to whom and on what occasion the endorsement in question was issued and it is necessary that you should satisfy yourself about the genuineness and relevancy of this old endorsement. Further enquiry seems therefore to be necessary and I request that the records connected with the enquiry of 1908 may be sent for reference and considered.

3. As regards exemption from payment of extra Vecha, no old case appears to have been made out for exempting the petitioner from payment of Rokka Vecha for sevas done by the members of the petitioner's family during Dhanurmasa and on Sri Ramanujachar's Tirunakshatra day. The manual referred to by the petitioner does not seem to contain any such right and its genuineness has not also been enquired into. I request that an investigation may now be made. Even as it is, it directs that Thirtha, Tirumudi, Prasadam, Nyaya vinyoga, Tadula, Gandha, Seshe, Yatradana, Dose, Majjana, Ayaswayapoti and other mariyadas should be

shown as usual to Satagopachar and his heirs. There is thus no reference made to the sevarthas got done at the cost of the Sannadar or his heirs. The exemption is claimed on the analogy that Rokka - vecha is not taken from some of the temple servants when specified sevas are done on their behalf. The petitioner does not hold any appointment in the temple and he cannot therefore claim any ---- exemption on that ground. The sevartha fees have been revised only very recently and exempting individuals from paying the prescribed fee will lead to embarrassing precedants and loss of income to the temple. The question may be kindly examined in the light of the above observations and a further report sent early.

4. As regards the post of Adhyapaka, it may be reported -- whether there are any vacancies to which there are no claimants and whether it may be conferred on the petitioner in view of the impending revision of the temple establishment.

5. The enclosures are returned.

GENL & REV 385- 1931

106

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. S.Hiriannaiya,

Muzrai Commissioner.

860 Secl. 1 3 1 0.

27-8 10/10/31

Copy of the above together with copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary, Financial -- Dept, for favour of information.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.553/37 dated 20th January 1938 from the Deputy Co
Mysore District, to the Land Revenue Commissioner, Ban

Report on the petition of Vedavalli Tiruvoimuli Ramanujacha

With reference to your Memo No.360/37-38 dated 7/8th January 1938 calling for a report on the petition of Vedavalli Tiruvoimuli Ramanujachar complaining that his case, relating to Sthalacharya Purushas and certain honours due to him in the Melkote temple, is pending disposal from a long time, I have the honour to state that the petitioner was given an opportunity to represent his case before the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks. From the records of enquiry it is seen that the petitioner's representations are as follows:-

(a) The petitioner belongs to the family of Sthalacharya Purushas who are entitled to the distribution of "Kutumbe Thirtha" in Melkote temple and as such all the male members of his family who are present on any occassion in the temple are entitled to and should be given the Thirtha. From the copy of the endorsement dated 18th February 1865 (enclosed in the file) issued by the temple office to petitioner's father it is seen that his family is entitled to receive Kutumbe Thirtha. The Peishkar reports that this honour is being shown to the petitioner's family sometimes and denied at other times owing to the ignorance or otherwise of the temple servants of the time. The Archaka and an experienced temple servant says that the petitioner's family is shown the honour whenever they happened to be present in the temple as the number members of the family of other Sthalacharya Purushas residing at Melkote are being shown the privilege. I have asked the Sub Divisional Officer, French Rocks, to issue immediate instructions to the Peishkar that the Kutumbe Thirtha honours should be shown without fail to the petitioner and the members of his family, if they are present at the time.

(b) The next prayer of the petitioner is that he may be exempted from payment of Sambhavethana for Varadaraja Parivatte on occasions of services done by the members of his family during Dhanurmasa and Sri Ramanujacharya's Tirunakshatra days. From the copy of the Sanad dated 30th October 1830 granted to the petitioner's grandfather by the late Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur, it is seen that the petitioner's family is entitled, among other honours to Varada pradhana Parivatte

but the usual Pokkavecha of Rs.2-6-3 (revised rate Rs.3/

recovered fro the petitioner during his seva and "Aralappadu"

The Sub Division Officer reports that "Aralappadu" is being done so e of t e temple servants when specified sevas are being done by them, I think the petitioner is also entitled to it without the payment of the Pokkavecha. The S b Division Officer also recommends t at no Pokkavecha need be recovered for Aralappadu on two occasions when sevas are erforme y the petitioner and his descendents.

Under the ci cu stances reported b the Sub Division Officer, I have approved of his proposals.

(c) The petitioner has prayed for the p st of one Adhyapaka in the te le. It is seen fro the records t at prior to the revision of the scal of the temple during 1900, the petitioner's family held a post of Adhyakam Adhyapaka. When and why their name was omitted from the hereditary cannot be ascertained now. The Sub Division Officer reports that considering the learn and attainments of the petitioner, he recommends that a post be given to h but there are no permanent vacancies at present, and as soon as a permanen vacancy occurs, the representation of the petitioner will be favourably consider d. The Sub Divis on Officer has been instructed to give an acting post of Adhyapaka to the petitioner for the present till a permanent -- vacanc occurs.

(d) The last representation of the petitioner is that the family s een removed from the list of recipients of (ಶಿಷ್ಟಾಚಾರ) long ago. Sub Divis on Officer reports that the system itself has ceased to exis that the petitioner is not also inclined to press the matter any furth

The entire file of record on the subject is enclosed herewith fo reference.

"Copy"

For Inamdar Commissioner.

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT ..... of the draft

Rules about appointment (temp)

drawn up

18/10

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No.

To

The M. C.

Mysore Camp Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

Date of issue .....

I am forwarding herewith (in original) a petition as — from Sriman Sringeri Ramanuja Char regarding Shala Acharya Purusha Sam Shetty in the Sri Sringeyanavami temple at Melkote, I am directed ~~to request that~~ herewith ~~to~~ this you to Signed by .....

Calling for a report on the subject and ~~which~~ ~~is requisite~~ Workmen that it is pending for ~~two~~ more 3 ½ years ~~which~~ ~~is~~ ~~requisite~~ It is desirable ~~that~~ ~~is very~~ that the matter should be enquired & disposed of speedily & final —

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

I am accordingly requested you to forward as to ~~proceed to the~~ ~~enquiry~~ ~~before~~ ~~the~~ ~~Assistant~~ about

Examined by .....

Camp No 3
19/10/31

(Camp) Mysore, 19th October 1931.

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
(Camp) MYSORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith (in original) a petition registered as Camp 1/ Muz. from Sriman Tiruvoymuli Ramanujachar regarding Sthala Acharya Purashaship in the Sri Tirunarayanaswami temple at Melkote etc., I am directed to invite reference to this office No. dated 18th January 1928 calling for a report on the subject and to observe that it is pending for over 3½ years. It is desirable that the matter should be brought to speedy disposal. I am accordingly to request you to be so good as to report to Government the action taken on the representation (with the connected records if necessary) as early as possible before the end of this month.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Financial Secretary to Govt.

Camp Mys. 4 19/10/31

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 18-10-1931 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Financial Secretary
to Government

Camp Mysore
Dy 19th October 1931

[illegible]

O. 35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
434
4 - NOV 1931
MUZRAI

Bangalore, 31st October 193 1.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to this office letter No.860/27-28 dated 10-10-31 calling for further information on your report on the petition of Ved. Tiruvoimoli Ramaujachar requesting Sthalacharyapurushaship in the Sri Tirunarayanaswami temple at Melkote &c., and to request that the information therein called for may be submitted at a very early date as Government are pressing for an immediate reply.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- T. Ramiah,

To

S. Abdul Majid, Esq., M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

860/27-8 2/11/31 Memo.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Financial Secretary to Government, with reference to his D.O. reminder Camp No.3 dated 19-10-31.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Subs for perusal.
4.11.31

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 434

No ~~880~~ 817

To The Mysore Commissioner

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with your memo No. 880/27-8 dt 2-11-31 and to request you to be so good as to report to Govt the action taken on the petn of Srimvasaiah Ramanujachary (with the connected records) if ~~necessary~~ and your recommendation as early as possible ~~before the 15th of this month~~, if the D.C., Nagar Dt has furnished the information called for in your letter no. 880/27-8 dt 10-10-31 to his address.

I have &c

3.12.31 for Secy

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 5.12.31

Signed by for V.S. Secy.

Submit for appl orders
SK
3/12/31

Issue
VS
3.12.31

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPRM—40 000—20-7 31

22-1-1932

2. 2. 1932

Kind resp. yr.

Tirowaya Muli Ramanjachar

No. 11. West-park Road

Maleswaram

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore, 26th February '32

D.O.No. 121/Muz.
Muzrai.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your ~~attention~~ kind reference to this office/~~your~~ letter/~~memo~~ No. 1062 of 29-12-27 ~~dated~~ & memo no. Muz 171-27-2 ~~regarding~~ 1384/Muz 2-30-23 of 17-12-30 regarding certain prayers of Sreeman Tirumurmuli Ramanu-jachar and your memo no 860 of 27-8 of 2-11-31 ~~on the subject.~~

~~and subsequent reminders and~~ and to request that ~~the reply to it/the submission of the further report (final reply)~~ the action taken on the petitions may be ~~may be kindly expedited, as the case has long been pending.~~ kindly intimated early.

Yours sincerely,

23/2/32

To M C
Tumkur

23/2/32

TYPING SECTION
24. FEB. 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

27-28

OFFICE OF THE MUZR GEN'L. & COMMDG CALIFORNIA 932A

Typing Lee with Compts

Please put up
a fair copy with
the same wordings
as D.O. No. 1211 below
Navy

Rec'd
11/4/32

155 Sadanki
D.O. 1469/h
9 12 4 32
to H.E. 32

11/4

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

Bangalore, ...12th April... 1932

16

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ, BA, BL.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

Rajasevaparayana
P.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

F i n a n c i a l *Department*

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.817/ dated 5-12-31 in the matter of certain honours claimed by Ved: Tiruvaimoli Ramanujachar in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.553/27 dated 30-11-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state as follows.-

2) Ved: Ramanujachar belongs to one of the families of the Sthala Acharya Purushas in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote. His complaint is that some of the maryadas which are due to him and his family as Acharya Purusha are not being shown in the temple. The honours claimed by the petitioner, and recommended by the Sub Division Officer after an enquiry by the former officer are the following:-

(i) Kutumba irtha.

(ii) Exemption from payment of Pookavecha in respect of his Nehimi Sevas.

(iii) Adhyapaka Post.

(iv) Grant of padi-anna.

The Deputy Commissioner states that he has issued orders in all these matters.

3) I have considered the matter fully and agree with the Deputy Commissioner that honours i, ii and iii may be allowed. Although no reference has been made to the Kutumba Thirtha in the Sanads produced by the petitioner this family has been in enjoyment of it from a long time, the earliest available document showing the exercise of the privilege being the endorsement dated 15th February 1864. When the matter

the honours due to the petitioner came up before Government before there was no complaint about Kutumba Thirtha as will be clear from No.1903/Muz.181-07 dated 29th January 1910.

As regards (ii) it is reported that payment of Pokkavecha is now insisted upon on the ground that the exemption is not mentioned in the Sanna granting the honour. There is evidence too to show that a Pokkavecha of R 2/- was being recovered from the petitioner's father even so far back as 1898. But a question arises as to whether the Aralappadu should not be done free when it is conferred as a mark of honour in the Sannad to a particular family. It seems to me that if fee is levied there would be r honour attached because like any other person the petitioner would be doin it as Sevartha and paying the fixed Pokkavecha also.

As regards (iii) the Deputy Commissioner has given the petitioner a place of Adhvapaka as he is deserving of it by his learning and character and this may be approved.

As regards (iv), however, I cannot agree with the Deputy Commissioner the records show that the privilege has not been enjoyed from 1869. The Sannad on which the petitioner bases his claim shows that the honour was conferred on Sadagopalachar, father of the petitioner personally and not i the family. The question has also been finally disposed of in Government Order dated 29th January 1910. this being item 2 of the said order. The claim for Vadiarra has therefore to be rejected.

In a subsequent petition dated 5th December 1931 presented to this office the petitioner has asked for certain honours on the occasion for th annual Adyayanotsava. This question has not been investigated by the Depu Commissioner and will therefore be reserved for separate consideration.

The entire file of vernacular papers is sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.553/27 dated 30-11-31 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Report on the petition of Vedamurti Tiruvoimoli Ramanujachar regarding his Sthalacharya Purushike haraward and other honours in Melkote templ

---

With reference to your letter No 860 of 27-28 dated 8/10th October 19? requesting further information on t petition of Vedamurthi Tiruvoimoli Ramanujachar regarding his Sthalacharya Purushike haraward and other honours in Melkote temple, I have the honor t forward herewith the previous records ending with G.O. No.1903/Muz.F.181-07 ated 29th January 1910. It is seen therefrom that as the petitioner Ramanujachar did not furnish the original Sannads and ther written evidence in support of his claim to the satisfaction of Government his prayer was not considered. But as he furnished all the necessary records during the enquiry held by the Sub Division Officer French Rocks, his claims were recommend by the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, and accordingly proposals were sent with this office letter No.H.553/27 dated 5th June 1931.

The endorsement dated 15th Februar1864 (not 1865) has been issued to the petitioner's father Tirumarana Iyenk by the temple office stating that arrangements have been made to give theerual honours in connection with the funeral of his mother. It is seen therom that Kutumba Thirtha and 'Kashaya Vasthra' on the death of any member the family are given to those only who hold a special acharya purushi haraward unlike other acharya purushas of the temple. This family has been enjoying this right even prior to the time of His Highness the late Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III. The petitioner has an authenticated copy endorsement stated above.

The petitioner has got the originappy of Sannad entitling him to hold the special Acharya Purushike haraw and other honours in the temple. He says that his grandfather Chadagopachar held the Sthalacharya Purushike haraward No.6 in the temple as per Sannad anted to the family, and that his father and after him the petitioner been urging the authorities for the restoration of the haraward. In view of the special honour of Aralappadi in the temple conferred on the Tiruvoimoli family and of the high attainments of the petitioner the exemption from pa of fees on the nehimi sevas conducted by the petitioner had been recommended in my previous letter as proposed by the Sub Division Officer.

As regards the post of Adhvaduka haraward the Peshkar report there is a vacancy at present and it has been given to the petitioner. Care will be taken to do away with superfluous posts while framing proj for revising the scale of expenditure of the temple.

The petitioner is entitled to get 4 padies of cooked rice and one daily in the temple as per sanad. The temple authorities will be in structed to give effect to the terms of the sannad.

The entire records are transmitted herewith for reference and kir return.

"COPY"

For Kuzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highnes the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Petition received at the General and Revenue Secretariat in December 1930 from Ved. Tiruvaimole Ramanujachariar of Melkote in the matter of certain Mariyades (privileges) claimed by him in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at the place.

2. Correspondence in the matter, ending with letter No. 860 of 1927-28 dated the 12th/16th April 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioner reporting that the marginally noted privileges are claimed by Ved. Ramanujachariar and recommended by the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, after enquiry, that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has issued orders sanctioning all these and stating that, for reasons given, the first three of them, viz., Kutumba Tirtha, exemption from payment of Rokkavecha in respect of his nehimi Sevas and Adhyapaka post, might be conferred on the applicant, but that the claim for Padianna has not been substantiated and should be rejected.

1. Kutumba Tirtha
2. Exemption from payment of Rokkavecha in respect of his nehimi Sevas.
3. Adhyapaka post and
4. Grant of Padianna.

Order No. 1616/Inam 2-30-28/Encl

Dated, Bangalore, the 5th May 1932.

The Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation is approved.

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner returning records.

C.R.G.2.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

2 Nos

Diary No. of letter replied to ....1188.....

Section Immigration

File No. 2 - 30.

Serial No. 88

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Immigration)

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue .....7. 5. ..... 1932.

Signed by H. B. L. [illegible]

Read— Petition received at the General and Revenue Secretariat in Decr 1930 from V. C. D. Sivaswamy Ramam Chariar of Indore [illegible] in the matter of exemption from payment of Customs duty claimed by him in respect of Sri Narayana Gowam temple at the place [illegible]

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order thereon.—No.

2)

re the matter ending
with the letter No 860/27-28
dated the 12th/16th April
1932 from the Deputy
Comm — forwarding that
the ~~fo~~ marginally ~~noted~~
noted brinjals
noted ~~hereto~~ are
claimed by Ved Ramanya
Sharma and recommended
by the Circle Inspector,
Fresh-Lands, after enquiry,
that the Dy Comm, Mysore
District, has issued orders
sanctioning ally these, and
stating that, for reasons
given, the first three
of them, viz Kulumbea
~~Hiri~~ Tirtha, exemption
from payment of Rokka
recha in respect of his
Nilhimi, areas and
Adyapatta just, might
be conferred on the
applicant, but that

(1) Kulumbea Tirtha Exemption from payment of Rokka recha in respect of Nilhimi areas
(2) Extract from Inspector's report
(3) Statement
(4) Extract from Register.

List of Enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

The claim in respect of the Wakkiz Kadi-ouma, ~~is unable to appear~~ with the Deputy Com as the privilege has not been enjoyed by the members of the petitioners family since 1889 and that the part of the Claim ~~is known to be~~ has not been substantiated and should ~~be~~ rejected

order Dn 1611

The Surveyor Commissioner's recommendation is approved

~~Sd~~ [illegible]

28/4

Sd [illegible] Dy Sec.

25/4/32

To The Surveyor Commissioning Officer &c.

Sd [illegible]

Mucl 2/5/32

WD 0327—GPB—10,000—18 5 27

Sd [illegible] 4/4/32

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

5-3





Why ? The Govt: has issued this may be attended

For information may be put up, if necessary we may object under rule

2/6/32

2/6/32